# Supporting Information

### Harvesting Water in the Classroom

S. Ephraim Neumann<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kallie Neumann<sup>c</sup>, Zhiling Zheng<sup>a,b</sup>, Nikita Hanikel<sup>a</sup>, Jonathan Tsao<sup>a</sup>, and Omar M. Yaghi<sup>a,b,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry and Kavli Energy Nanoscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley, 94720, CA, United States.

<sup>b</sup> Bakar Institute of Digital Materials for the Planet, Division of Computing, Data Science, and Society, University of California, Berkeley, 94720, CA, United States.

<sup>c</sup> Proof School, 973 Mission St, San Francisco, California 94103, United States.

<sup>d</sup>UC Berkeley–KACST Joint Center of Excellence for Nanomaterials for Clean Energy Applications, King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology, Riyadh 11442, Saudi Arabia.

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed: ephraim.neumann@berkeley.edu

## **Table of Contents**

Section S1. General Methods and Materials	
Section S2. Characterization	3
Section S3. Exemplary Student Data	4
References	5

#### Section S1. General Methods and Materials

Chemicals:

All chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used without prior purification.

Methods:

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded using a Rigaku MiniFlex 6G equipped with a HyPix-400MF Hybrid Pixel Array detector and a normal focus X-ray tube with a Cu-source ( $\lambda = 1.54178$  Å).

Water vapor sorption experiments were carried out on a Micromeritics 3Flex Surface Characterization Analyzer. The water vapor source was degassed through five freeze-pump-thaw cycles before the analysis. An isothermal water bath was employed to keep the temperature during the measurements.

#### Section S2. Characterization

Characterization of the MOF was not part of the activity and only serves to underscore the robustness and viability of the simplified synthesis.



**Figure S1. PXRD spectrum of aluminum fumarate.** The crystallinity of the synthesized MOF is comparable to that of reported research-grade materials.<sup>1-3</sup>



**Figure S2. Water isotherm of aluminum fumarate at 25** °C. The synthesized MOF exhibits a step-shaped water isotherm comparable to that of reported research-grade materials.<sup>1-3</sup>

#### Section S3. Exemplary Student Data

**Table S1.** Observed mass change for aluminum fumarate at different relative humidities. (Experiment 3)

Salt	KOAc	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	NaOH	NaCl	LiCl	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>
Relative Humidity (Reported, 298K)	22.5%	97.3%	8.2%	75.2%	11.3%	43.1%
Time Point (min)	Mass of MOF (g)	Mass of MOF (g)	Mass of MOF (g)	Mass of MOF (g)	Mass of MOF (g)	Mass of MOF (g)
0	0.523	0.57	0.764	0.69	0.625	0.5
5	0.533	0.589	0.77	0.698	0.629	0.513
10	0.529	0.592	0.762	0.706	0.641	0.5
15	0.532	0.591	0.768	0.708	0.639	0.525
20	0.554	0.59	0.767	0.716	0.64	0.525
25	0.551	0.591	0.762	0.718	0.64	0.527
40	0.577	0.599	0.764	0.72	0.63	0.523
1440	0.524	0.708	0.665	0.867	0.573	0.589
Absolute Change in Mass (g)	0.001	0.138	-0.099	0.177	-0.052	0.089
Change in mass / g of MOF	0.001912	0.242105	-0.12958	0.256522	-0.0832	0.178

#### References

- Canivet, J.; Fateeva, A.; Guo, Y.; Coasne, B.; Farrusseng, D. Water adsorption in MOFs: fundamentals and applications. *Chemical Society Reviews* 2014, 43 (16), 5594-5617, 10.1039/C4CS00078A. DOI: 10.1039/C4CS00078A.
- (2) Gaab, M.; Trukhan, N.; Maurer, S.; Gummaraju, R.; Müller, U. The progression of Albased metal-organic frameworks – From academic research to industrial production and applications. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials* 2012, *157*, 131-136. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2011.08.016</u>.
- (3) Hanikel, N.; Prévot, M. S.; Fathieh, F.; Kapustin, E. A.; Lyu, H.; Wang, H.; Diercks, N. J.; Glover, T. G.; Yaghi, O. M. Rapid Cycling and Exceptional Yield in a Metal-Organic Framework Water Harvester. ACS Central Science 2019, 5 (10), 1699-1706. DOI: 10.1021/acscentsci.9b00745.